

BRIEF OUTLINE OF BIOSOLIDS SURVEY RESULTS

Should CIWMB do additional regulations? Why?

USEPA

Yes 1 No 0

Need for statewide standards.

STATE AGENCIES

Yes 0 No 2

Already covered, additional regulations would be duplicative.

LEAs AND PUBLIC/ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Yes 4 No 7

Yes- Land application is not adequately regulated. The CIWMB should at least be one of the agencies involved in regulating it.

No- No need for additional CIWMB regulation of biosolids.

POTWs

Yes 0 Maybe 7 No 11

Maybe- Generally, there is no need for additional CIWMB regulation of biosolids. However, land application, especially of Class B biosolids, needs statewide consistency, CIWMB may be appropriate agency to be involved in some manner, in certain circumstances.

No- No need for additional CIWMB regulation of biosolids.

OTHER LOCAL GOV'T

Maybe 4

Maybe- There is a need for statewide consistency on land application, the CIWMB may be the appropriate agency. One agency couldn't respond until CDFA's position is known.

ASSOCIATIONS

Yes 0 Maybe 1 No 2

Maybe- Additional regulation is necessary, more discussion is necessary about how to do that.

No- No need for additional CIWMB regulation of biosolids.

ENVIRONMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS

Yes 2 No 0

The CIWMB should limit biosolids to safe disposal.

One state agency should regulate land spreading of biosolids.

PRIVATE PARTIES

Yes 2 No 2

Yes- Additional regulation of land application is necessary.

No- No need for additional CIWMB regulation of biosolids.

TOTALS

YES 9 MAYBE 12 NO 24